TITLE 11—DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY

Division 45—Missouri Gaming Commission Chapter 20—Sports Wagering

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Missouri Gaming Commission under section 39(g) of Article III, *Missouri Constitution*, the commission adopts a rule as follows:

11 CSR 45-20.200 is adopted.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on June 16, 2025 (50 MoReg 794–796). Those sections with changes are reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty (30) days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The public comment period ended July 16, 2025, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rule on July 17, 2025. There were three (3) attendees at the public hearing, but no comments were made. The commission received no written comments on the proposed rule. The Joint Committee on Administrative Rules made two (2) comments on the proposed rule.

COMMENT #1: Section (12) – The Joint Committee on Administrative Rules suggested the addition of language to clarify when video recordings of investigations may be released. RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: Agreed and revised.

COMMENT #2: Private Cost Statement – The Joint Committee on Administrative Rules suggested revising the fiscal note to reflect additional surveillance agents and the cost of developing a surveillance plan.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: Agreed and revised the fiscal note to reflect three surveillance agents for the two sports team retail licensees and the cost of the surveillance plans.

11 CSR 45-20.200 Surveillance Requirements for Retail Licensees

(12) A surveillance release log shall be maintained to record who receives a copy of video recordings. Video recordings of criminal or regulatory investigations or violations shall not be released to anyone without the approval of the commission or pursuant to a lawful court order.

REVISED PRIVATE COST: The cost to private entities is an estimated initial cost of five thousand one hundred dollars (\$5,100) and an annual cost of three hundred sixty thousand dollars (\$360,000) versus the estimated annual cost of two hundred forty thousand dollars (\$240,000), which was submitted in the original estimate.